Simultaneous oxygen-reduction and methanol-oxidation reactions at the cathode of a DMFC: A model-based electrochemical impedance spectroscopy study
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Abstract

A model-based electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) approach that combines an equivalent electrical circuit (EEC) method and a mathematical model derived from the reaction kinetics is proposed to investigate the simultaneous oxygen-reduction reaction (ORR) and methanol-oxidation reaction (MOR) at the cathode of a DMFC. Good agreements between the calculated results and the experimental data validated the proposed method. Detailed kinetic parameters and state variables of the cathode were conveniently extracted and the concerned reaction processes were further analyzed, which demonstrated the comprehensive applicability of this method. The results showed a significant poisoning effect on the ORR by the presence of methanol at the cathode. The results also indicated that whether the methanol permeated from the anode can be completely oxidized by electrochemical reaction at the DMFC cathode depends on the electrode design and operating conditions, even at high potentials.
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1. Introduction

Methanol crossover, the permeation of methanol through the ionomer membrane from the anode to the cathode, results in simultaneous cathodic oxygen-reduction reaction (ORR) and anodic methanol-oxidation reaction (MOR) at the cathode of a direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC). The simultaneous MOR and ORR lead to not only a fuel loss, but also a decrease in the cathode potential, which is one of major problems for DMFC performance [1–3]. To suppress the effect of the permeated methanol, it is essential to gain better understanding of the mechanism of how the MOR interacts with the ORR and how this interaction lowers the cathode potential. Recently, to understand the mechanism of the simultaneous MOR and ORR, extensive investigations have been reported by using traditional electrochemical and spectroscopic methods [1–8]. Despite a significant progress made, the kinetics of simultaneous MOR and ORR at the DMFC cathode is still not well understood, mainly due to the complicated nature of the reactions involving multi-step kinetics, and hence further studies are needed.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is a powerful tool for elucidating reaction mechanisms involving multi-step kinetics, because it has the advantage of separating different rate processes in the frequency domain, and therefore provides a better insight on the interfacial processes and species in electrochemical systems. Up to now, however, only little attention has been paid to the application of EIS to the investigation of the DMFC cathode behavior [9–13]. Müller and Urban [9] firstly introduced a method of obtaining DMFC cathode impedance spectra based on recording individually the impedance data for the entire DMFC and the anode only, followed by the calculation of the DMFC cathode impedance. Using the same procedure, Piela et al. [10] measured and gave some further interpretation of the cathode impedance spectra. Also, aiming to examine transport and reaction kinetics, some reference electrode methods were adopted to measure the cathode impedance spectra individually [11–13]. These pioneering EIS investigations are greatly helpful in understanding the cathode behavior. However, partly because of the complexity of the EIS data, all these investigations used the equivalent electrical circuit (EEC) method, in
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which the obtained parameters may not have physical equivalents, and therefore, it is difficult to extract detailed information about the electrode reaction mechanism [14]. In addition, for a given impedance spectra, there may be several EECs that can all provide a good fitting, making it difficult to select the proper EEC model for the studied electrode system.

In this work, we propose a model-based EIS approach, which combines the EEC method and a mathematical model established from the detailed reaction mechanism, and apply this approach to investigate the simultaneous MOR and ORR at the DMFC cathode. This method can avoid the problems of the only EEC method, and more importantly, provide very detailed reaction information, e.g. the kinetic parameters and state variables. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to study the DMFC cathode by a model-based EIS method. We started with a mathematical derivation of the Faradaic impedance, based on a simplified reaction mechanism for the simultaneous MOR and ORR, and established a relationship between the Faradaic impedance and a general EEC. Then, the experimental impedance data were measured by a half-cell method. Finally, from the established relationship and the measured experimental data, the specific kinetic parameters and state variables for the simultaneous MOR and ORR were extracted and the results were analyzed based on the extracted parameters.

2. Theoretical

As discussed below, simultaneous MOR and ORR that occur at the cathode of a DMFC involve two adsorbed species. Based on the state variable theory [15,16], the Faradaic impedance \( Z_F \) for such an electrode process can be expressed as [17,18]:

\[
Z_F = \frac{1}{(1/R_1) + (A + j\omega B)/(D - \omega^2 + j\omega T)} \tag{1}
\]

where \( \omega \) is the radial frequency,

\[
\frac{1}{R_i} = \frac{\partial I_F}{\partial E} \tag{2}
\]

with \( I_F \) and \( E \) representing the Faradaic current density and the electrode potential, respectively,

\[
A = m_1J_{12}n_2 + m_2J_{21}n_1 - m_1J_{22}n_1 - m_2J_{11}n_2 \tag{3}
\]

\[
B = m_1n_1 + m_2n_2 \tag{4}
\]

\[
D = J_{11}J_{22} - J_{12}J_{21} \tag{5}
\]

\[
T = -J_{11} - J_{22} \tag{6}
\]

with

\[
m_i = \frac{\partial I_F}{\partial \theta_i} \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{7}
\]

\[
n_i = \frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial E} \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{8}
\]

\[
J_{ik} = \frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial \theta_k} \quad i, k = 1, 2 \tag{9}
\]

where \( \theta_i \) and \( \dot{\theta}_i \) are respective adsorbate coverage and its change rate with time.

Apparently, to determine \( Z_F \) as a function of \( \omega \) at a fixed potential \( E \), the relationship between \( I_F \), \( \theta_i \), \( \dot{\theta}_i \), and \( E \) has to be identified to extract the parameter values for impedance calculation. To this end, a simple reaction mechanism for simultaneous MOR and ORR is employed:

\[
\begin{align*}
Pt & + CH_3OH \rightarrow Pt - CO_{ads} + 4H^+ + 4e^- \quad (10) \\
Pt & - CO_{ads} + H_2O \rightarrow Pt + CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \quad (11) \\
Pt & + O_2 + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow Pt - O_2H_{ads} \quad (12) \\
Pt & - O_2H_{ads} + 3H^+ + 3e^- \rightarrow Pt + 2H_2O \quad (13)
\end{align*}
\]

The electro-catalytic oxidation of methanol involves two series steps [19,20]. The first step is the adsorption and dehydrogenation of methanol to form the absorbed CO species. The second step represents the irreversible oxidation of the CO species, leading to CO2 evolution. Oxygen reduction on Pt under acidic media is usually characterized by the two-step Damjanovic mechanism [21,22]. The first step is an electrochemical step corresponding to the protonation of the O2, which is the rate-determining step. Then the formed adsorption species, O2H, is further reduced to water. The reaction rates \( \nu_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)) corresponding to the Eqs. (10)–(13) can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\nu_1 & = k_1c_1(1 - \theta_1 - \theta_2) \exp\left(\frac{E}{b_1}\right) \quad (14) \\
\nu_2 & = k_2\theta_1 \exp\left(\frac{E}{b_2}\right) \quad (15) \\
\nu_3 & = k_3c_2(1 - \theta_1 - \theta_2) \exp\left(-\frac{E}{b_3}\right) \quad (16) \\
\nu_4 & = k_4\theta_2 \exp\left(-\frac{E}{b_4}\right) - k_{-4}(1 - \theta_1 - \theta_2) \exp\left(\frac{E}{b_4}\right) \quad (17)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( k_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3, 4, -4 \)) are rate constants, \( b_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)) the Tafel slopes, \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) the coverage of CO and O2H, \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) the concentrations of methanol and oxygen. Based on the proposed reaction mechanism (Eqs. (10)–(13)), the Faradaic current density \( I_F \) can be written as:

\[
I_F = F(4\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 - \nu_3 - 3\nu_4) \quad (18)
\]

where \( F \) is the Faradaic constant. According to mass balance, the change rates of absorbate coverage \( \dot{\theta}_i \) is the net result of production and consumption of the absorbates, and can be expressed as:

\[
\dot{\theta}_1 = \frac{d\theta_1}{dt} = \frac{F}{q_1}(\nu_1 - \nu_2) \quad (19)
\]

\[
\dot{\theta}_2 = \frac{d\theta_2}{dt} = \frac{F}{q_2}(\nu_3 - \nu_4) \quad (20)
\]

where \( q_1 \) (420 \( \mu \)C cm\(^{-2} \)) [14] and \( q_2 \) (assumed 420 \( \mu \)C cm\(^{-2} \)) are the charges required for complete CO and O2H adsorption on unit Pt surface.
By substituting $I_F$, $\theta_i$ and $\dot{\theta}_i$ into Eqs. (2)–(9) with the expressions in Eqs. (14)–(20), the relationships between $R_t$, $A$, $B$, $D$, $T$ and the kinetic and state parameters ($k_i$, $b_i$, $\theta_i$ and $c_i$) can be established.

According to Wu et al. [18], the Faradaic impedance for an electrode process with two adsorbed species can also be expressed by a general EEC, shown in Fig. 1a, and thus, the mathematical expression of the Faradaic impedance can be equivalently written as

$$Z_F = R_t + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{R_i}{1 + j\omega R_i C_i} \quad i = 1, 2$$  (21)

where $R_i$ is the resistance elements, $C_i$ the capacitance elements. Comparing the Eq. (1) with the Eq. (21), the relationship between the electrical elements in the EEC and the electrochemical parameters in the Faradaic impedance expression Eq. (1) can be established as:

$$A = -\frac{1}{K_t^2} \left( \frac{R_1 + R_2}{R_1 R_2 C_1 C_2} \right)$$  (22)

$$B = -\frac{1}{K_t^2} \left( \frac{1}{C_1} + \frac{1}{C_2} \right)$$  (23)

$$D = \frac{1}{R_1 R_2 C_1 C_2} - R_t A$$  (24)

$$T = \frac{R_1 C_1 + R_2 C_2}{R_1 R_2 C_1 C_2} - R_t B$$  (25)

From Eqs. (22)–(25), the electrochemical parameters $A$, $B$, $D$ and $T$ can be calculated from the values of the electrical elements $R_t$, $R_i$ and $C_i$, which can be obtained by fitting experimental data with the proposed EEC. Also, as was mentioned above, $A$, $B$, $D$ and $T$ as well as $R_t$ are related to the kinetic and state parameters ($k_i$, $b_i$, $\theta_i$ and $c_i$) of the simultaneous MOR and ORR kinetics. Therefore, based on Eqs. (2)–(6), the kinetic and state parameters ($k_i$, $b_i$, $\theta_i$ and $c_i$) can be obtained from the calculated $A$, $B$, $D$, $T$ and $R_t$ by a simplex nonlinear regression procedure.

3. Experimental

The experimental impedance spectra of the DMFC cathode were measured by a half-cell method, which was proved reliable for EIS measurement [23–25]. In this half cell, a half membrane electrode assembly (MEA), consisting of a Nafion membrane with a gas diffusion electrode (GDE) on one side, was held vertically. The GDE, consisting of a catalyst layer and a gas diffusion layer, was in contact with a flow field plate, through which $O_2$ was supplied and electric current was extracted. The membrane was exposed to the electrolyte solution, in which a platinum mesh was immersed to serve as a counter electrode. A saturated calomel electrode (SCE) was positioned approximately 4.0 mm away from the membrane via a Luggin capillary to serve as a reference electrode. The half-MEA was obtained by hot-pressing a Nafion 112 membrane and a 1 cm² in-house GDE with Pt loading 0.1 mg cm⁻². The in-house fabricated GDE was prepared by spraying a catalyst ink consisting of 60 wt.% Pt/C catalyst, 5 wt.% Nafion solution and ethanol onto a wet-proofed carbon cloth substrate.

All the electrochemical measurements were conducted in deaerated 0.5 M $H_2SO_4 + 0.5 M CH_3OH$ solution at ambient temperature (20°C), using an Autolab PGSTAT 30 with a frequency response analyzer module, FRA2. A high flow rate of unhumidified $O_2$ (100 sccm) at atmospheric pressure was adopted to eliminate the mass-transport limitations of oxygen and keep the ORR almost under pure kinetic control. The impedance spectra were recorded 10 points/decade in the potentiostatic mode by sweeping frequencies from 50k to 0.02 Hz range at an amplitude of 10 mV.

4. Results and discussion

Fig. 2 shows the experimental EIS patterns of the electrode at various potentials. At high frequencies, the impedance showed a line with a slope close to 45°. This line, apparently independent of the electrode potential, could be ascribed to the ionic ohmic drop in the membrane or the electronic contact between different layers [21,26]. At medium frequencies, a large capacitive arc was observed, which was associated with the charge transfer processes [27] and depressed due to a high roughness of the electrode. At low frequencies, there was an unobvious pseudo inductive loop. Such pseudo inductive patterns, which are typical features of systems with adsorbed intermediates [28], are critical to proposing the reaction kinetics and the fitting EEC for the DMFC cathode.

Considering the measured impedance patterns, the reaction mechanism, and the structural features of the half cell, the experimental data in Fig. 2 were fitted by a proposed EEC shown in Fig. 1b, where $R_0$ is the membrane or contact resistance, $C_0$ the membrane or contact capacitance, $Z_{CPE}$ the constant phase element (CPE), and $Z_F$ the Faradaic impedance as presented in Fig. 1a. In this EEC, the parallel combination of $R_0$ and $C_0$ was
state variables for the simultaneous MOR and ORR mechanism

were extracted by a nonlinear fitting procedure based on the established correlations (Eqs. (2)–(6)). Table 3 summarizes the fitted kinetic parameters for the simultaneous MOR and ORR. For MOR, \( k_2 \) is almost two orders of magnitude lower than \( k_1 \), indicating oxidation of the absorbed CO was the rate-determining step whereas adsorption of the methanol molecule to form the CO species was relatively faster. For ORR, \( k_3 \) is significantly lower than \( k_{aa} \), indicating the oxygen reduction to the absorbed O\(_2\)H was the rate-determining step, which was in agreement with the previous assumption for the ORR.

The coverage of the absorbed species at a DMFC cathode, which is often obtained by complicated spectroscopic apparatuses, could also be obtained by our model-based EIS method. Fig. 3 presents the calculated values of CO and O\(_2\)H coverage, as well as the free surface, as a function of the electrode potential. With the increase in potential, \( \theta_1 \) decreased whereas \( \theta_2 \) increased steadily. From 0.65 to 0.75 V, \( \theta_1 \) decreased from about 0.7 to 0.1 and \( \theta_2 \) increased from 0.3 to 0.8. The continuous declining of \( \theta_1 \) could be interpreted by the electro-oxidative removal of

### Table 1

Fitted values of the elements in the equivalent electrical circuit illustrated in Fig. 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( E ) (V)</th>
<th>( Y ) (F m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( R_0 ) (( \Omega ) m(^2))</th>
<th>( C_0 ) (F m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>( R_1 ) (( \Omega ) m(^2))</th>
<th>( R_2 ) (( \Omega ) m(^2))</th>
<th>( C_1 ) (F m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>( C_2 ) (F m(^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.1119</td>
<td>0.7997</td>
<td>0.00156</td>
<td>0.01148</td>
<td>0.10480</td>
<td>0.16080</td>
<td>0.03190</td>
<td>-0.01412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.1031</td>
<td>0.8275</td>
<td>0.00156</td>
<td>0.01408</td>
<td>0.07910</td>
<td>0.08720</td>
<td>0.03611</td>
<td>-0.01030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.1101</td>
<td>0.8010</td>
<td>0.00155</td>
<td>0.02675</td>
<td>0.05008</td>
<td>0.06852</td>
<td>0.03374</td>
<td>-0.007580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.1187</td>
<td>0.7910</td>
<td>0.00159</td>
<td>0.01533</td>
<td>0.04246</td>
<td>0.04712</td>
<td>0.04637</td>
<td>-0.006090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

Calculated values of the electrochemical parameters in Eq. (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( E ) (V)</th>
<th>( A ) (( \Omega^{-1} ) m(^2) s(^{-2}))</th>
<th>( B ) (( \Omega^{-1} ) m(^2) s(^{-2}))</th>
<th>( D ) (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( T ) (s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-9.70 \times 10^3</td>
<td>-2.85 \times 10^3</td>
<td>1.74 \times 10^3</td>
<td>497.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-2.17 \times 10^4</td>
<td>-3.97 \times 10^3</td>
<td>3.48 \times 10^3</td>
<td>606.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-8.09 \times 10^4</td>
<td>-1.03 \times 10^4</td>
<td>7.38 \times 10^3</td>
<td>899.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-1.35 \times 10^5</td>
<td>-1.19 \times 10^4</td>
<td>1.17 \times 10^4</td>
<td>976.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

Fitted kinetic parameters for the simultaneous MOR and ORR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( k_1 ) (mol s(^{-1}) m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>2.86 \times 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_2 ) (mol s(^{-1}) m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>6.48 \times 10^{-11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_3 ) (mol s(^{-1}) m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{aa} ) (mol s(^{-1}) m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>88.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{aA} ) (mol s(^{-1}) m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>3.96 \times 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b_1 ) (V dec(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b_2 ) (V dec(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b_3 ) (V dec(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b_4 ) (V dec(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 2.** Complex-plane impedance patterns of the electrode at various potentials. Scattered points: experimental data; solid line: the fitted data by the equivalent circuit illustrated in Fig. 1.

**Fig. 3.** Calculated CO and O\(_2\)H coverage (\( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \)) and free surface (1 - \( \theta_1 - \theta_2 \)) as a function of electrode potential.
CO species at higher potentials. The increase of \( \theta_2 \) was probably due to the competitive adsorption between \( \text{O}_2 \text{H} \) and CO. The significant removal of CO at higher potentials led to more active sites available for \( \text{O}_2 \text{H} \) adsorption, and thus, \( \theta_2 \) increased with potential. Noteworthy was the large CO coverage, especially at lower potentials, which was associated with the strong adsorption strength of Pt with CO and indicated that the presence of methanol significantly reduced the active sites for the ORR at the DMFC cathode. The free active surface, also shown in Fig. 3, was quite small, which was consistent with the above analysis.

In order to show the methanol effect on the ORR more straightforwardly, the polarization curves were calculated and are presented in Fig. 4. Clearly, the polarization curve for simultaneous MOR and ORR showed significant lower performance than that for the pure ORR, which was calculated by assuming zero methanol concentration and CO coverage, especially at lower potentials. This result, consistent with the coverage variation, indicates that the presence of methanol greatly affected the ORR. The total effect of methanol on the ORR could be divided into two parts: the parasitic current effect, which assumed MOR and ORR independent of each other, and the poisoning effect, which was mainly due to adsorption of the CO species. To further clarify the methanol effect on ORR, the parasitic current effect and the total effect were respectively obtained from the partial MOR current density and the difference of the current densities at both sides of the half MEA. The ratio of parasitic current effect and total effect was plotted in the inset of Fig. 4. The ratio of parasitic current effect and total effect was below 0.1 at 0.65 V and then increased to over 0.5 at 0.75 V, revealing that the poisoning effect was dominant at low potentials while the parasitic current effect was more important at high potentials, which was consistent with the results in Fig. 3. These results indicate that the interaction between ORR and permeated methanol could not be explained by a classical mixed potential theory, especially at low potentials.

Methanol crossover through the membrane occurs mainly due to the driving forces of concentration gradients and electro-osmosis, which, at steady state, can be described by

\[
D_M \left( \frac{\partial^2 c_1}{\partial x^2} \right) - \frac{I_F}{F} \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x} = 0
\]

where \( D_M \) is the methanol diffusivity in Nafion membrane, \( \lambda \) the coefficient associated with electro-osmotic drag. This equation was solved to give the methanol permeation rates due to the concentration diffusion and the electro-osmotic drag. During the calculation, the values of \( 1.37 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \) and 0.045 were used for \( D_M \) and \( \lambda \), which were estimated from the limiting current density for methanol crossover through the Nafion membrane, as described by Ren et al. [7]. Fig. 5 shows the calculated methanol fluxes resulted, respectively, from the concentration diffusion and the electro-osmotic drag at various potentials. For the case of the electro-osmotic drag, the methanol flux decreased with increasing potential, due to lower cathodic current densities at higher potentials. For the case of the concentration diffusion, on the contrary, a slight increase of methanol flux with potential was observed, which could be explained in terms of the methanol concentrations at both sides of the half MEA. Apparently, the methanol concentration at the electrode side was reduced due to larger MOR rates, while the methanol concentration at the other side of the half MEA was almost maintained constant, at higher potentials. Hence, the methanol flux due to concentration gradient increased with potential. The increase of methanol flux due to concentration gradient with potential, however, was slight due to the small variation of the methanol concentration at the electrode side. It was interesting that, even at low potentials when cathodic current density was relatively larger, the methanol flux due to concentration diffusion was still significantly higher than that due to electro-osmotic drag, which revealed that the methanol flux due to the concentration diffusion was the dominant way for methanol crossover through the Nafion membrane. It should be noticed that this was just the observation in our case, and methanol fluxes due to concentration diffusion and electro-osmotic drag were highly dependent on the electrode design and operation condition.
The rate of methanol permeation through the membrane and the rate of methanol oxidation at the cathode as a function of electrode potential were also calculated and are compared in Fig. 6. The rate of methanol permeation through the membrane decreased with increasing potential, which was the net result of both concentration diffusion and electro-osmotic drag as shown in Fig. 5. The rate of methanol oxidation, which is difficult to be measured by other electrochemical methods but can be easily obtained by this model-based EIS method, increased steadily with potential, due to faster MOR kinetics at higher potentials. The inset of Fig. 6 presents the ratio of the rate of methanol oxidation to that of methanol permeation. It is interesting to find that, at all potentials, the electrochemical oxidation rate was only 40–70% of the permeation rate, indicating that the permeated methanol could not be completely oxidized by electro-catalytic reaction at the DMFC cathode, even at high potentials. The non-electrochemically oxidized methanol may remain in its origin state, which was proved by some spectroscopic observations [29], or be oxidized by a chemical reaction, which was observed by Vielstich and coworkers [30,8]. No matter what form the nonelectrochemically oxidized methanol was, the assumption that all permeated methanol could be completely oxidized by electro-catalytic reaction might lead to some errors in explaining experimental phenomena or mathematical modeling.

Finally, to verify the reliability of the model-based EIS approach and the accuracy of the calculated results, the EIS patterns calculated from the extracted parameters were compared with experimental results and are shown in Fig. 7. Clearly, agreements between the experimental and simulated results were observed, demonstrating the reliability of the method by the combining use of EEC method and a mathematical modeling.

5. Conclusions

The simultaneous MOR and ORR behavior at a DMFC cathode, resulted from methanol crossover, was investigated by a model-based EIS method that couples an EEC and a mathematical model derived from the detailed reaction kinetics. This method combines the advantages of the quantitative fitting of the experimental data in the EEC method and the exact physical equivalent for every parameter in the mathematical modeling, and can be easily implemented to extract the reaction details of the studied electrochemical systems. As far as we know, this is the first attempt to study the simultaneous MOR and ORR at a DMFC cathode by a model-based EIS method. Agreements between experimental and theoretical EIS data demonstrated the reliability of the model-based EIS method. Most of the detailed reaction information, e.g. kinetic parameters and state variables, about the DMFC cathode could be conveniently extracted out by this model-based EIS approach, which is very helpful to understand the cathode behavior. From the obtained parameters, some significant facts related to the DMFC cathode were revealed. The presence of methanol at the cathode could lead to a significant poisoning effect on the oxygen reduction reaction due to a high CO coverage, especially when the cathode operates at low potentials. In modeling the DMFC performance, it has usually been assumed that all permeated methanol can be completely oxidized by electrochemical reaction at the DMFC cathode. However, this work reveals that this assumption is questionable. Whether the permeated methanol can be completely oxidized by electrochemical reaction depends highly on the electrode design and operating conditions.

Acknowledgement

The work described in this paper was fully supported by a grant from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (Project No. 622305).

References